

ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF A SOLUTION FOR THE SINGULARLY PERTURBED OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM WITH A CONVEX INTEGRAL QUALITY INDEX AND SMOOTH CONTROL CONSTRAINTS¹

Alexander A. Shaburov

Institute of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ural Federal University,
Ekaterinburg, Russia
alexandershaburov@mail.ru

Abstract: The paper deals with the problem of optimal control with a convex integral quality index for a linear steady-state control system in the class of piecewise continuous controls with smooth control constraints. In a general case, to solve such a problem, the Pontryagin maximum principle is applied as the necessary and sufficient optimum condition. The main difference from the preceding article [10] is that the terminal part of the convex integral quality index depends not only on slow, but also on fast variables. In a particular case, we derive an equation that is satisfied by an initial vector of the conjugate system. Then this equation is extended to the optimal control problem with the convex integral quality index for a linear system with the fast and slow variables. It is shown that the solution of the corresponding equation as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ tends to the solution of an equation corresponding to the limit problem. The results obtained are applied to study a problem which describes the motion of a material point in \mathbb{R}^n for a fixed interval of time. The asymptotics of the initial vector of the conjugate system that defines the type of optimal control is built. It is shown that the asymptotics is a power series of expansion.

Keywords: Optimal control, Singularly perturbed problems, Asymptotic expansion, Small parameter.

Introduction

The paper is devoted to studying the asymptotics of the initial vector of a conjugated state and an optimal value of the quality index in the optimal control problem [1–3] for a linear system with a fast and slow variable (see review [4]), convex integral quality index [3, Chapter 3], and smooth geometrical constraints for control.

Singularly perturbed problems of optimal control have been considered in different settings in [5–7]. The solving of problems with a closed and bounded control area meets certain difficulties. That is why the problems with fast and slow variables and closed constraints for control have been studied to a less extent. A significant contribution to solving these problems was made by Dontchev and Kokotovic. Problems with constraints for control in the form of a polygon are dealt with in [5, 7]. The structure of such optimal control is a relay function with values in the apexes of the polygon. No optimal control with constraints in the form of a sphere, which is a continuous function with a finite and countable number of discontinuity points, has been considered so far.

The asymptotics of solutions of the perturbed control problem was formulated differently in papers [8–10].

The main difference from the preceding article [10] is that the terminal part of the convex integral quality index depends not only on slow, but also on fast variables. In the present work,

¹The paper is a translation of the paper “Asymptotic expansion of a solution for the singularly perturbed optimal control problem with a convex integral quality index and smooth control constraints” by A.A.Shaburov published in Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics at Udmurt State University, 2017, vol. 50, pp. 110–120.

the basic equation for searching for the asymptotics of the initial vector of the conjugated state of the problem under consideration and optimal control is obtained.

General relationships are applied to the case of the optimal control with a point of a small mass in an n -dimensional space under the action of a bounded force.

1. Construction of complete asymptotic expansion of vector λ_ε for an optimal control problem with fast and slow variables

Let us consider a problem that belongs to the class of piecewise continuous controls optimal control problem for a linear stationary system with a convex integral quality index:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_\varepsilon = y_\varepsilon, & t \in [0, T], & \|u\| \leq 1, \\ \varepsilon \cdot \dot{y}_\varepsilon = -y_\varepsilon + u, & x_\varepsilon(0) = x^0, & y_\varepsilon(0) = y^0, \\ J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|z_\varepsilon(T)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 dt \rightarrow \min, & z_\varepsilon(T) = (x_\varepsilon(T) \ y_\varepsilon(T))^T, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Henceforward $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm in corresponding space.

Problem (1.1) simulates a motion of a material point of small mass $\varepsilon > 0$ with the coefficient of the medium resistance equals to 1 in the space \mathbb{R}^n under action of the constrained control force $u(t)$.

Note that in the considered convex integral quality index J , where the first term can be interpreted as a fine for the control error at a finite time instant T , whereas the second is used to account for the energy costs of the implementation of the control.

Controllable system (1.1) contains fast and slow variables. The terminal part of the convex integral quality index depends not only on slow, but also on fast variables. For each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ the problem (1.1) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon z + \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon u, & z(0) = z^0, & \|u(t)\| \leq 1, & t \in [0, T], \\ J(u) = \varphi(z(T)) + \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 dt \rightarrow \min, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$z_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_\varepsilon(t) \\ y_\varepsilon(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad z_\varepsilon^0 = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{n} = 2n, \quad \varphi(z_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \|z_\varepsilon\|^2,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \varepsilon^{-1} A_{21} & \varepsilon^{-1} A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \varepsilon^{-1} B_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $A_{11} = \mathcal{O}$, $A_{12} = I$, $A_{21} = \mathcal{O}$, $A_{22} = -I$, $B_1 = \mathcal{O}$, $B_2 = I$, and \mathcal{O} and I are the zero and the identity matrices of dimensional $n \times n$ respectively.

Calculating $e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon t}$ and $\nabla(\frac{1}{2} \|z_\varepsilon(T)\|^2)$, we obtain

$$e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon t} = \begin{pmatrix} I & \varepsilon(1 - e^{-t/\varepsilon})I \\ \mathcal{O} & e^{-t/\varepsilon}I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla\left(\frac{1}{2} \|z_\varepsilon(T)\|^2\right) = z_\varepsilon(T). \quad (1.3)$$

Thus, the following conditions are valid:

- for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the pair $(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)$ is completely controllable, that is,

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \dots, \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^{2n-1} \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) = 2n;$$

- all eigenvalues of matrix A_{22} have negative real parts;
- the pair (A_{22}, B_2) is completely controllable.

Under the formulated conditions applied to the problem (1.2), the Pontryagin maximum principle is a necessary and sufficient optimum criterion. In this case, the problem has a unique solution [3, p. 3.5, theorem 14]. As well, the following statement is valid:

Statement 1. *The pair $z_\varepsilon(t)$, $u_\varepsilon(t)$ is a solution of the maximum principle problem if and only if $u_\varepsilon(t)$ is determined with the following formula:*

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* t} \lambda_\varepsilon}{S(\|\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* t} \lambda_\varepsilon\|)}, \quad S(\xi) := \begin{cases} 2, & 0 \leq \xi \leq 2, \\ \xi, & \xi > 2, \end{cases}$$

and the vector λ_ε is the unique solution of the equation

$$-\lambda_\varepsilon = \nabla \varphi \left(e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon T} z_\varepsilon^0 + \int_0^T e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \tau} \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \frac{\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* \tau} \lambda_\varepsilon}{S(\|\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* e^{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^* \tau} \lambda_\varepsilon\|)} d\tau \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\nabla \varphi$ is the subgradient function in the sense of convex analysis. Besides $u_\varepsilon(t)$ is a unique optimal control in the problem (1.2) [10, Statement 1].

Definition 1. *The vector λ_ε , that satisfies the equation (1.4), will be called as a vector determining the optimal control in the problem (1.2). Note that since $\nabla \varphi(z_\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} x_\varepsilon \\ y_\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$, then the vector λ_ε , which determines the optimal control in the problem (1.2), has the form $\lambda_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} l_\varepsilon \\ \rho_\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$, $l_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\rho_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Definition 2. *The vectors l_ε , ρ_ε also will be called as **a vectors determining the optimal control in the problem** (1.2).*

By virtue (1.3) the equation (1.4) transforms into system:

$$\begin{cases} -l_\varepsilon = x^0 + \varepsilon (1 - e^{-T/\varepsilon}) y^0 + \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-t/\varepsilon}) (l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-1} \rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{S(\|l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-1} \rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} dt, \\ -\rho_\varepsilon = e^{-T/\varepsilon} y^0 + \int_0^T \frac{e^{-t/\varepsilon} (l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-1} \rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon \cdot S(\|l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-1} \rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} dt. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Let us note that the optimal control $u_\varepsilon^o(\tau)$ in the problem (1.1) by virtue 1 is expressed through the vectors $l_\varepsilon, \rho_\varepsilon$ as follows:

$$u_\varepsilon^o(\tau) = \frac{l_\varepsilon + e^{-\tau/\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-1} \rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)}{S(\|l_\varepsilon + e^{-\tau/\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{-1} \rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)}. \quad (1.6)$$

The main problem posed for (1.1) is to determine the complete asymptotic expansion in powers of the small parameter ε of optimal control, optimal values of the quality index and the optimal process. Formula (1.6) shows that if it is possible to obtain the complete asymptotic expansion of the vectors $l_\varepsilon, \rho_\varepsilon$, which determine the optimal control in problem (1.1), then this vectors can also be used for the asymptotic expansions of the above values.

We introduce some notation. If the vector-function $f_\varepsilon(t)$ is such that $f_\varepsilon(t) = O(\varepsilon^\alpha)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $\alpha > 0$ uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, T]$, then instead of $f_\varepsilon(t)$ we will write \mathbb{O} . In particular, $e^{-\gamma T/\varepsilon} = \mathbb{O}$.

Theorem 1. *Let the vectors $l_\varepsilon, \rho_\varepsilon$ are the unique solutions of the equation (1.5) in the problem (1.1), and the vector l_0 is the unique solution of the equation*

$$-l_0 = x^0 + \frac{l_0}{S(\|l_0\|)}T. \quad (1.7)$$

Then $l_\varepsilon \rightarrow l_0$ and $\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon \rightarrow -l_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$.

P r o o f. It is known that the attainability set for the controllable system under control from (1.1) is uniformly bounded by the time instant T at $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ (see., for example, [6, Theorem 3.1]).

Writing the first equation from (1.5):

$$-l_\varepsilon = x^0 + \varepsilon \left(1 - e^{-T/\varepsilon}\right) y^0 + \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-t/\varepsilon})(l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{S(\|l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} dt.$$

Taking into account that the expression under integral is uniformly constrained and that $O(e^{-t/\varepsilon}) = e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, a proof of that $l_\varepsilon \rightarrow l_0$, is carried out almost literally [10, Theorem 1]. Hence, it is enough to show that $\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon \rightarrow -l_0$ for a full proof of this theorem.

Let us show that the vector ρ_ε can be presented in the form of $\rho_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \cdot r_\varepsilon$, where $r_\varepsilon \rightarrow r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$. Writing the second equation from (1.5):

$$-\rho_\varepsilon = e^{-T/\varepsilon}y^0 + \int_0^T \frac{e^{-t/\varepsilon}(l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon \cdot S(\|l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} dt. \quad (1.8)$$

Let $\tau := t/\varepsilon$. The equation (1.8) rewriting as

$$-\rho_\varepsilon = \mathbb{O} + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\tau}(l_\varepsilon + e^{-\tau}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{S(\|l_\varepsilon + e^{-\tau}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} d\tau, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Replacing the variable $\xi := e^{-\tau}$, we obtain

$$-\rho_\varepsilon = \mathbb{O} + \int_0^1 \frac{l_\varepsilon + \xi(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)}{S(\|l_\varepsilon + \xi(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} d\xi, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, the vector ρ_ε is bounded. Let us prove that a sequence $\{\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon\}$ is bounded. By contradiction, we find $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0 : \|\varepsilon_n^{-1}\rho_{\varepsilon_n}\| \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity, the n dependence of ε will be omitted.

Let us divide the integral into two terms by means of introduction of complementary parameter $\alpha(\varepsilon)$:

$$-\rho_\varepsilon = \mathbb{O} + \int_0^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \frac{l_\varepsilon + \xi(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)}{S(\|l_\varepsilon + \xi(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon)\|)} d\xi + \int_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}^1 \frac{\xi\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon + (1-\xi)l_\varepsilon}{S(\|\xi\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon + (1-\xi)l_\varepsilon\|)} d\xi, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\alpha(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^\gamma)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and for a certain positive number γ .

So far as $\|\xi\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon\| = \xi\|\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow \infty$ and the vector l_ε is bounded. Choice of the point of division of an integral depends on the number $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ as follows:

$$\alpha(\varepsilon) := \frac{1}{\|\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon\|^\gamma} \leq \xi,$$

where, because expression under integral sign is bounded, $\alpha(\varepsilon) = o(1)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Notice that $\|\xi\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon\| \geq \alpha(\varepsilon)\|\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow \infty$, i. e. at sufficiently small the inequality $\varepsilon : \|\xi\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - (1 - \xi)l_\varepsilon\| > 2$ is satisfied. Dividing and multiplying the function under the second integral sign in (1.9) by a factor $\|\rho_\varepsilon\|$ and having got rid of a factor ε^{-1} at ρ_ε , we obtain

$$-\rho_\varepsilon = \mathbb{O} + o(1) + \int_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}^1 \frac{\xi \frac{\rho_\varepsilon}{\|\rho_\varepsilon\|} + o(1)}{\left\| \xi \frac{\rho_\varepsilon}{\|\rho_\varepsilon\|} + o(1) \right\|} d\xi. \quad (1.10)$$

Let, without loss of generality, $\bar{\rho}$ be a partial limit of the vectors $\rho_\varepsilon/\|\rho_\varepsilon\|$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$, i. e. $\rho_{\varepsilon_k}/\|\rho_{\varepsilon_k}\| \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$ for a certain $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ so that $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow +0$. Moreover, $\|\bar{\rho}\| = 1$. Passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.10), we obtain, that $-\rho_0 = \bar{\rho}$. Consequently, $\|\rho_0\| = 1$ and $-\rho_0 = \rho_0$.

The received contradiction leads to the fact that $\rho_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon)$, and we can rewrite the vector $\rho_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \cdot r_\varepsilon$, where the sequence $\{r_\varepsilon\}$ is bounded.

Divide the integral into two terms. Taking into account $r_\varepsilon \rightarrow r_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^1 \frac{l_0 + \xi(r_0 - l_0)}{S(\|l_0 + \xi(r_0 - l_0)\|)} d\xi = \int_0^1 \frac{l_0}{S(\|l_0 + \xi(r_0 - l_0)\|)} d\xi + \int_0^1 \frac{\xi(r_0 - l_0)}{S(\|l_0 + \xi(r_0 - l_0)\|)} d\xi = \\ &= \mu_1 l_0 + \mu_2(r_0 - l_0) = \tilde{\mu} l_0 + \mu_2 r_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_1 - \mu_2$.

Positive numbers μ_1, μ_2 are represented by integrals

$$\mu_1 = \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{S(\|l_0 + \xi(r_0 - l_0)\|)}, \quad \mu_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi}{S(\|l_0 + \xi(r_0 - l_0)\|)} d\xi.$$

We can suppose, that $r_0 = \mu \cdot l_0$, where $\mu := -\tilde{\mu}/\mu_2$.

Change of variable in integration $\nu := 1 + \xi(\mu - 1)$ allows to rewrite an integral equation as follows

$$\frac{l_0}{\mu - 1} \int_1^\mu \frac{\nu}{S(\|l_0\| \cdot |\nu|)} d\nu.$$

Integral is equal to zero at $\mu = 1$. Let $\mu \neq 1$, then the function under integral sign is uneven function on a variable ν . Consequently, the integral is equal to zero at $\mu = -1$. We prove, that $\rho_\varepsilon = \varepsilon r_\varepsilon$, besides a first term $r_0 = -l_0$ is a bounding vector. Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

From (1.5) and (1.7) we obtain two cases:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \|x^0\| < T + 2 &\implies l_0 = -\frac{2}{2+T} x^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|l_0\| < 2, \\ 2) \|x^0\| > T + 2 &\implies l_0 = -\frac{\|x^0\| - T}{\|x^0\|} x^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|l_0\| > 2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

1. Consider the first case $\|x^0\| < T + 2$.

By virtue of (1.11) and Theorem 1 the inequality $\|l_\varepsilon\| < 2$ is valid for all sufficiently small ε . Taking into account that $(1 - e^{-t/\varepsilon}) \leq 1$ at any $t \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, from (1.5) we obtain for $l_\varepsilon, \rho_\varepsilon$ the rewriting system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} -l_\varepsilon = x^0 + \varepsilon(1 - e^{-T/\varepsilon})y^0 + \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-t/\varepsilon})(l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{2} dt, \\ -\rho_\varepsilon = e^{-T/\varepsilon}y^0 + \int_0^T \frac{e^{-t/\varepsilon}(l_\varepsilon + e^{-t/\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{-1}\rho_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon))}{2\varepsilon} dt. \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

The solution of (1.12) are vectors

$$\rho_\varepsilon = \frac{2\varepsilon(x^0 + \varepsilon y^0)}{(T+2) + 2\varepsilon(3+2T) - 6\varepsilon^2} + \mathbb{O}, \quad l_\varepsilon = \frac{-2(x^0 + \varepsilon y^0)(1+4\varepsilon)}{(T+2) + 2\varepsilon(3+2T) - 6\varepsilon^2} + \mathbb{O}, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from these representations that λ_ε is expanded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ into the asymptotic power series. Moreover, we can obtain explicit form for the first two coefficients of vectors $l_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\|x^0\| < T + 2$. Then the vectors $l_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon$, which determine the optimal control in problem (1.1), are expanded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ into a power asymptotic series:

$$l_\varepsilon \stackrel{as}{=} l_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k l_k, \quad \text{where, in particular, } l_0 = \frac{-2x^0}{T+2}, \quad l_1 = \frac{-8x^0}{T+2} - \frac{2y^0}{T+2} + \frac{4(3+2T)x^0}{(T+2)^2},$$

$$r_\varepsilon \stackrel{as}{=} r_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k r_k, \quad \text{where, in particular, } r_0 = \frac{2x^0}{T+2}, \quad r_1 = \frac{2y^0}{T+2} - \frac{4(3+2T)x^0}{(T+2)^2}.$$

2. Now consider the case $\|x^0\| > T + 2$.

Let $l_\varepsilon = l_0 + l$, $\rho_\varepsilon = -\varepsilon l_0 + \varepsilon r$, where l, r — are infinitesimal numbers.

Rewriting the system of equations (1.12), replacing the variable $\eta := e^{-t/\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{cases} -l_0 - l = x^0 + \varepsilon y^0 + \mathbb{O} + \varepsilon \int_{e^{-T/\varepsilon}}^1 \frac{(1-\eta)(l_0 + l + \eta(r - l - 2l_0))}{\eta \cdot S(\|l_0 + l + \eta(r - l - 2l_0)\|)} d\eta, & \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ -\varepsilon(-l_0 + r) = \mathbb{O} + \int_{e^{-T/\varepsilon}}^1 \frac{l_0 + l + \eta(r - l - 2l_0)}{S(\|l_0 + l + \eta(r - l - 2l_0)\|)} d\eta, & \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we will reduce a condition $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Replacing the variable $\xi := 1 - 2\eta$. Then factor under the integral sign in the rewriting system as a function $\psi_\varepsilon(\eta)$ contains vectors $l_\varepsilon, \rho_\varepsilon$, as follows

$$\psi_\varepsilon(\xi) := \xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu,$$

where $\lambda = (l + r)/2$, $\nu = (l - r)/2$. For a small variables l, r we can receive the following expressions

$$l = \lambda + \nu, \quad r = \lambda - \nu.$$

Taking into account that we have a new representations of vectors l, r we rewrite the system of equation as follows

$$\begin{cases} -l_0 - \lambda - \nu = x^0 + \varepsilon y^0 + \mathbb{O} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{(1+\xi)(\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu)}{(1-\xi)S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi, \\ -\varepsilon(-l_0 + \lambda - \nu) = \mathbb{O} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi, \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

where $\beta(\varepsilon) := 1 - 2e^{-T/\varepsilon}$. Notice that $\beta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Having transformed a factor

$$\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi} = 1 + \frac{2\xi}{1-\xi}$$

under the integral sign and divided the integral from the first equation of system (1.13) into two terms, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{(1+\xi)}{(1-\xi)} \cdot \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi = \\ = \int_{-1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi + 2 \int_{-1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)} \cdot \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the switching points ξ_1, ξ_2 from a constraint $\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\| = 2$, we set

$$\xi_{1,2} = \frac{-\langle l_0; \lambda \rangle - \langle \nu; \lambda \rangle \pm \sqrt{(\langle l_0; \lambda \rangle + \langle \nu; \lambda \rangle)^2 - (\|\lambda\|^2 - 4)(\|l_0\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2 + 2\langle l_0; \nu \rangle)}}{\|l_0\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2 + 2\langle l_0; \nu \rangle}.$$

Henceforward $\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle$ is a scalar product in a corresponding space.

Using a binomial expansion and expansion of quadratic root as a small parameter, we find ξ_1, ξ_2 :

$$\xi_{1,2} = \pm \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} - \frac{\langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^2} \mp \frac{2\langle l_0; \nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} + O(\|\lambda\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2).$$

We can extend the integral from the second equation of system (1.13) at the point $\xi = 1$:

$$\int_{-1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{S(\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|)} d\xi + \mathbb{O} = 2\varepsilon(l_0 - \lambda + \nu).$$

Introducing into consideration a vector function $F(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) := \begin{pmatrix} F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) \\ F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$, we rewrite system

(1.13) as follows $F(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) := l_0 + \lambda + \nu + x^0 + \varepsilon y^0 + \mathbb{O} + \varepsilon^2(l_0 + \nu - \lambda) + \varepsilon \left(\int_{-1}^{\xi_2} \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)} \cdot \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) + \\ + \varepsilon \left(\int_{\xi_2}^{\xi_1} \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)} \cdot \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{2} d\xi + \int_{\xi_1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)} \cdot \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) = 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

$$F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) := \varepsilon(\lambda - l_0 - \nu) + \mathbb{O} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-1}^{\xi_2} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi + \int_{\xi_2}^{\xi_1} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{2} d\xi + \int_{\xi_1}^1 \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) = 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.15)$$

where ξ_1, ξ_2 are the switching points of control $u(t)$.

Let us remove a singularity at the point $\xi = 1$, divide the integral from the first equation of the system into two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\xi_1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} \cdot \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi = \\ & = \int_{\xi_1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} \cdot \left(\frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} - \frac{l_0 + \lambda + \nu}{\|l_0 + \lambda + \nu\|} \right) d\xi + \int_{\xi_1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} \cdot \frac{l_0 + \lambda + \nu}{\|l_0 + \lambda + \nu\|} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the second integral:

$$\frac{l_0 + \lambda + \nu}{\|l_0 + \lambda + \nu\|} \int_{\xi_1}^{\beta(\varepsilon)} \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} d\xi = \frac{l_0 + \lambda + \nu}{\|l_0 + \lambda + \nu\|} \cdot \left(- \left(1 - 2e^{-T/\varepsilon} - \xi_1 \right) - \left(\ln 2 - \frac{T}{\varepsilon} - \ln(1 - \xi_1) \right) \right).$$

Let us expand terms $1 - \xi_1$ and $\ln(1 - \xi_1)$ as a small parameter:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \xi_1 &= 1 - \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} + \frac{\langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^2} + \frac{2\langle l_0; \nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} + O(\|\lambda\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2), \\ \ln(1 - \xi_1) &= \ln \left(1 - \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \right) + \frac{\langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|(\|l_0\| - 2)} + \frac{2\langle l_0; \nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^2(\|l_0\| - 2)} + O(\|\lambda\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the Gateau derivative of function $\rho/\|\rho\|$, we obtain

$$D \left(\frac{\rho}{\|\rho\|} \right) \Big|_{\rho=\rho_0 \neq 0} (\Delta \rho) = \frac{\Delta \rho \|\rho_0\|^2 - \langle \Delta \rho; \rho_0 \rangle \rho_0}{\|\rho_0\|^3}. \quad (1.16)$$

We can use the formula (1.16) to find a partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda), \quad \frac{\partial F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu).$$

Taking into account that the unique term in the right side of equation (1.14) has no order $o(1)$, and according to formula (1.16) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) &= \Delta \lambda + T \cdot \frac{\Delta \lambda \|l_0\|^2 - l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3}, \\ \frac{\partial F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) &= \Delta \nu + T \cdot \frac{\Delta \nu \|l_0\|^2 - l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta \nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Function $F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)$ from the second equation from (1.15) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-1}^{\xi_2^0} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi + \int_{\xi_2^0}^{\xi_2} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi + \int_{\xi_2}^{\xi_1} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{2} d\xi \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1^0} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi + \int_{\xi_1^0}^1 \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) + \varepsilon(\lambda - \nu - l_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_{1,2}^0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \xi_{1,2}$.

Calculating the third integral:

$$\int_{\xi_2}^{\xi_1} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{2} d\xi = \frac{2\lambda}{\|l_0\|} - \frac{2l_0 \langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3},$$

and calculating partial derivatives of the third integral :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\|l_0\|} - \frac{2l_0 \langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} \right) \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) &= \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\|l_0\|} - \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\|l_0\|} - \frac{2l_0 \langle l_0; \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} \right) \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating derivatives of first and fifth integrals, we use formula (1.16):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\int_{-1}^{\xi_2^o} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\int_{\xi_1^o}^1 \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) = \\ &= \frac{\Delta \lambda \|l_0\|^2 - \langle l_0; \Delta \lambda \rangle l_0}{\|l_0\|^3} \cdot \left(-\ln \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\int_{-1}^{\xi_2^o} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) &= \frac{\Delta \nu \|l_0\|^2 - \langle l_0; \Delta \nu \rangle l_0}{\|l_0\|^3} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\|l_0\|} - 1 \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\int_{\xi_1^o}^1 \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \right) \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) &= \frac{\Delta \nu \|l_0\|^2 - \langle l_0; \Delta \nu \rangle l_0}{\|l_0\|^3} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Calculating derivatives of second and fourth integrals, we take into account the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \int_{\alpha(\lambda)}^{\beta(\lambda)} f(t, \lambda) dt \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} (\Delta \lambda) = \\ &= \int_{\alpha(\lambda)}^{\beta(\lambda)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} (\Delta \lambda) dt + f(\beta(\lambda), \lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} (\Delta \lambda) - f(\alpha(\lambda), \lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} (\Delta \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

Since each integral contains only one multiple limit and integral from the partial derivative of the expression under the integral sign is equal to zero, and taking into account the formula (1.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \int_{\xi_2^o}^{\xi_2} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) &= \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) \cdot \frac{\xi_2 l_0 + \lambda + \xi_2 \nu}{\|\xi_2 l_0 + \lambda + \xi_2 \nu\|} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) = \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1^o} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) &= -\frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) \cdot \frac{\xi_1 l_0 + \lambda + \xi_1 \nu}{\|\xi_1 l_0 + \lambda + \xi_1 \nu\|} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) = \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta \lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Following this line of reasoning, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \int_{\xi_2^o}^{\xi_2} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) &= -\frac{2l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta \nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^4}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1^o} \frac{\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu}{\|\xi l_0 + \lambda + \xi \nu\|} d\xi \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) &= \frac{2l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta \nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda)$, $\frac{\partial F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu)$:

$$\frac{\partial F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \lambda) = \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\|l_0\|} - \ln \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda \|l_0\|^2 - \langle l_0; \Delta \lambda \rangle l_0}{\|l_0\|^3} \right), \quad \frac{\partial F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon)}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} (\Delta \nu) = 0.$$

Then we obtain, that $F_1(0, 0, 0) = 0$, $F_2(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and functions $F_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, $F_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ are infinitely differentiable in λ , ν , ε in a certain neighborhood of the point $(0; 0; 0)$.

Show that operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Delta\lambda, \Delta\nu) &:= D \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon=0} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta\lambda + T \frac{\Delta\lambda \|l_0\|^2 - l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta\lambda \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} + \Delta\nu + T \frac{\Delta\nu \|l_0\|^2 - l_0 \langle l_0; \Delta\nu \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} \\ \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\|l_0\|} - \ln \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \left(\frac{\Delta\lambda \|l_0\|^2 - \langle l_0; \Delta\lambda \rangle l_0}{\|l_0\|^3} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

is continuously reversible.

Consider the equation $\mathcal{F}(0, 0)(\Delta\lambda, \Delta\nu) =: (g_1, g_2)$. Multiplying scalarly the first and second coordinates of vectors (1.18), we find unknown couples of multiply scalarly:

$$\langle l_0; \Delta\lambda \rangle = \|l_0\| \langle l_0; g_2 \rangle, \quad \langle l_0; \Delta\nu \rangle = \langle l_0; g_1 - \|l_0\| g_2 \rangle.$$

The reversible operator $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g_1, g_2)$ is equal:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g_1, g_2) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \left(g_1 + T \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; g_2 \rangle}{\|l_0\|^2} + T \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; g_1 - \|l_0\| g_2 \rangle}{\|l_0\|^3} \right) \frac{\|l_0\|}{\|l_0\| + T} - \left(g_2 - \ln \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; g_2 \rangle}{\|l_0\|^2} \right) \frac{\|l_0\|}{1 - \ln(2/\|l_0\|)} \\ \left(g_2 - \ln \frac{2}{\|l_0\|} \frac{l_0 \langle l_0; g_2 \rangle}{\|l_0\|^2} \right) \frac{\|l_0\|}{1 - \ln(2/\|l_0\|)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the implicit function theorem is applicable. It means that the vectors $l_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon$ (as a functions of ε) are infinitely differentiable with respect to ε for all small ε and, therefore, $l_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon$ can be expanded into the asymptotic series. The coefficients of this series can be found via the standard procedure: substituting the series into the equation $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) = 0$, expanding values dependent on ε into the asymptotic series in power of ε and equating terms of the same order of smallness with respect to ε , we obtain equations of the form $\mathcal{F}(\Delta\lambda_k, \Delta\nu_k) = (g_{1,k}, g_{2,k})$ with the right parts known. Then, by the formula (1) we find l_k, r_k .

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\|x^0\| > T + 2$. Then the vectors $l_\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon$, which determine the optimal control in problem (1.1) are expanded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ into the power asymptotic series:

$$l_\varepsilon \stackrel{as}{=} l_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k l_k, \quad r_\varepsilon \stackrel{as}{=} r_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k r_k.$$

2. Conclusion

1. Both in the first and the second cases under consideration, from (1.14), (1.15) and the asymptotic expansion of l_ε the asymptotic expansions of both the quality index and optimal control as well as optimal state of the system are conventionally obtained. With this, the asymptotic expansions of the optimal control and optimal state of the system will be exponentially decreasing boundary layers in the neighborhood of point $t = 0$. Moreover, if $t \geq \varepsilon^\beta$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, then the optimal control $u^o(t)$ is constant plus the asymptotic zero.

2. It follows from the formulas $F_1(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) = 0$, $F_2(\lambda, \nu, \varepsilon) = 0$ that λ_ε lies in the subspace Π , generated by vectors x^0 and y^0 . Therefore, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $u_\varepsilon^o(t)$, and $x_\varepsilon(t)$, and $y_\varepsilon(t)$ lie in the same subspace Π . In this way, the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the corresponding two-dimensional problem.

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Prof. Alexey R. Danilin for the formulation of the problem and for constant attention to the work.

REFERENCES

1. Pontryagin L. S., Boltyanskii V. G., Gamkrelidze R. V., Mishchenko E. F. *The Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes* NY–London–Sydney: John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1962. VIII+360 p.
2. Krasovskii N. N. *Teoriya upravleniya dvizheniem. Lineinye sistemy* [Theory of Control of Motion. Linear Systems]. Moscow: Nauka, 1968. 476 p. (in Russian)
3. Lee E. B., Markus L. *Foundations of Optimal Control Theory*. NY–London–Sydney: John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1967. 576 p.
4. Vasil'eva A. B., Dmitriev M. G. Singular perturbations in optimal control problems. *J. of Soviet Mathematics*, 1986. Vol. 34, no. 3. P. 1579–1629. DOI: [10.1007/BF01262406](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01262406)
5. Kokotović P. V., Haddad A. H. Controllability and time-optimal control of systems with slow and fast models. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*. 1975. Vol. 20, no. 1. P. 111–113. DOI: [10.1109/TAC.1975.1100852](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1975.1100852)
6. Dontchev A. L. *Perturbations, approximations and sensitivity analysis of optimal control systems*. Berlin–Heidelberg–New York–Tokio: Springer-Verlag, 1983. IV+161 p. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0043612](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0043612)
7. Kalinin A. I., Semenov K. V. The asymptotic optimization method for linear singularly perturbed systems with the multidimensional control. *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*. 2004. Vol. 44, no. 3. P. 407–417.
8. Danilin A. R., Parysheva Y. V. Asymptotics of the optimal cost functional in a linear optimal control problem. *Doklady Mathematics*. 2009. Vol. 80, no. 1. P. 478–481. DOI: [10.1134/S1064562409040073](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064562409040073)
9. Danilin A. R., Kovrizhnykh O. O. Time-optimal control of a small mass point without environmental resistance. *Doklady Mathematics*. 2013. Vol. 88, no. 1. P. 465–467. DOI: [10.1134/S1064562413040364](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064562413040364)
10. Shaburov A. A. Asymptotic expansion of a solution of a singularly perturbed optimal control problem in the space \mathbb{R}^n with an integral convex performance index. *Ural. Math. J.*, 2017. Vol. 3, no. 1. P. 68–75. DOI: [10.15826/umj.2017.1.005](https://doi.org/10.15826/umj.2017.1.005)